

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,746                                | 06/23/2003                         | Chang-Hyeon Lee      | 050324-1322             | 8986             |
| 24504                                     | 7590 04/09/2004                    |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP |                                    |                      | CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D     |                  |
| STE 1750                                  | 00 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW<br>TE 1750 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ATLANTA,                                  | GA 30339-5948                      |                      | 2816                    |                  |
|   |                                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|
|   | 10/601,746   | LEE ET AL.       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit         |  |  |  |
|   | Terry D. Cunningham  | 2816             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>  | ebruary 2004.  |                  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This  | action is non-final.   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |                  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: a   | )⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to   | by the Examiner. |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   | n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a   | )-(d) or (f)     |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul> |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  |                  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The "phase and frequency detector", the "charge pump", the "voltage controlled oscillator", the "current controlled oscillator" and the "loop filter" are deemed critical or essential to the practice of the invention for the circuit to be a "phase lock loop", but are not included in the claim(s). An arrangement lacking these features is not enabled by the disclosure since it cannot be understood from the specification how the circuit will operate without such. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not understood how the circuit can be a "phase lock loop" without the above discussed critical features. In lines 12-13, it is not understood what is meant by "voltages associated with the differential input signals" nor is there any element or means recited to

Art Unit: 2816

provide this operation. It is not understood how the circuit can have this recited operation without any circuit to provide such.

Claims 2-15 are rejected as including the indefiniteness discussed above with claim 1.

In claim 9, it is not understood what is meant by "a voltage associated with the biasing signal". It is not understood what relevance this "voltage" has nor has it been recited as to where such is connected or provided in the circuit.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the rejection to claim 9 and has not found them to be persuasive nor are such understood. It is not clear how the circuit can have a "voltage <u>associated</u> with the biasing signal" (emphasis added) without circuitry recited to provide such.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park et al. (USPN 5,729,178). Park et al. discloses, in Fig. 8, a circuit comprising: "a pair of differential signal input terminals (V1 and V2)"; "first and second switching transistors (Mc1 and Mc4)"; "second and third switching transistors (Mc8 and Mc11)"; "an output stage" having "a first output stage (Mc5 and Mc12)" and "a second output stage Mc15 and Mc16)"; and "a non-differential output terminal (VCM)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (USPN 5,889,437) in view of Park et al. (USPN 5,729,178). Lee discloses, in Fig. 1, a circuit comprising: "a charge pump (106)" "a phase and frequency detector (101)"; a "voltage-to-current converter (V/C)"; "a loop filter (107)"; and "a frequency divider". Lee does not expressly disclose the recited details for the "voltage-to-current converter". However, the above discussed reference to Park et al. discloses a specific "voltage-to-current converter" which has the advantage of eliminating slew rate and increasing speed. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the specific "voltage-to-current converter" in Fig. 8 of Park et al. for the broad "voltage-to-current converter" V/C of Lee to obtain the expected advantage of eliminating slew rate and increasing speed.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant remarks follows:

Park appears to show an output called "VCM" which apparently stands for voltage common mode, based on the fact that it is a common mode feedback voltage terminal. Applicants respectfully assert that one skilled in the art would not understand a voltage terminal to be a current output.

Examiner disagrees with this statement. Since this output must necessarily provide current, it would be more than reasonable for one skilled in the to consider such a current output.

Claims 6 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-308-4872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC

**April 6, 2004** 

Terry D. Cunningham
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816